

**REMARKS**

**Objection to the Specification and Claims**

The specification and claims 3, 4, and 7 stand objected to for various informalities. Applicant has herein amended the specification and claims to address all of these objections.

**Rejection under 35 U.S.C §112**

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. In particular, the Examiner finds that the terms “said image” in claim 1 and “said graphical object” lack sufficient antecedent basis. Claims 1-3 have been canceled. Claim 5 has been incorporated into claim 4, wherein “graphical object” has been amended to “graphic object.”

**Rejection under 35 U.S.C §102**

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,986,654 to Alexander et al. Claim 1 has been canceled.

**Rejection under 35 U.S.C §103**

Claims 2-4 and 7-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander. Claims 2-3, 7, and 11-12 have been cancelled. Claim 4 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 5, which the Examiner has indicated to be allowable. Claims 8-10 have been amended to depend from claim 4.

Applicant acknowledges with gratitude the Examiner’s indication of allowability as to claims 5 and 6. Applicant traverses the Examiner’s rejection of claims 1-4 and 7-12 and disagrees that these claims are anticipated by Alexander. However, in the interest of moving this application to issue, Applicant has cancelled claims 1-3, 7 and 11-12 without prejudice and expressly reserving the right to present these claims in a future divisional or continuation application. The limitations of dependent claim 5 have been incorporated into independent claim 4, and claims 6 and 8-10 have been amended to depend from now allowable claim 4.

Regarding the prior art made of record by the Examiner but not relied upon, Applicant believes that this art does not render the pending claims unpatentable.

In view of the above, Applicant submits that the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully urges the Examiner to pass this case to issue.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to deposit account no. 12-0415. In particular, if this response is not timely filed, the Commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to deposit account no. 12-0415.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Post Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

September 9, 2004

(Date of Transmission)

Mia Kim

(Name of Person Transmitting)



(Signature)

9/9/04

(Date)

Respectfully submitted,



Robert Popa  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 43,010  
LADAS & PARRY  
5670 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2100  
Los Angeles, California 90036  
(323) 934-2300 voice  
(323) 934-0202 facsimile  
rpopa@ladasparry.com